**Research Paper Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Unsatisfactory - Beginning** | **Developing** | **Accomplished** | **Exemplary** | **Total** |
| **Paper Focus:Purpose/Position Statement**  | **0-13 points** | **14-15 points** | **16-17 points** | **18-20 points** | /20 |
| Fails to identify a relevant research topic or is not clearly defined and/or the paper lacks focus throughout.  | Identifies a research topic but may be too broad in scope and/or the thesis is somewhat unclear and needs to be developed further. Focal point is not consistently maintained throughout the paper. | Identifies a relevant research topic and a thesis that provides adequate direction for the paper with some degree of interest for the reader. The thesis states the position, premise, or hypothesis, and is the focal point of the paper for the most part. | Identifies a relevant research topic and a thesis that provides direction for the paper that is engaging and thought provoking, The thesis clearly and concisely states the position, premise, or hypothesis and is consistently the focal point throughout the paper. |
| **Analysis** | **0-22 points** | **21-23 points** | **24-26 points** | **27-30 points** | /30 |
| Demonstrates a lack of understanding and inadequate analysis of the research topic and thesis. Analysis is superficial based on opinions and preferences rather than critical analysis. | Demonstrates general understanding with limited critical analysis of the research topic and thesis (argument). Summarizes perspectives, counter-arguments, or opposing positions.  | Demonstrates an understanding and some critical analysis of the research topic and thesis (argument). Adequately compares/contrasts perspectives, counter-arguments, or opposing positions but broader connections and/or implications are not as thoroughly explored. | Demonstrates a sophisticated understanding and careful, critical analysis of the research topic and thesis (argument). Compares/contrasts perspectives, considers counter arguments or opposing positions, and draws original and thoughtful conclusions with future implications.  |
| **Evidence (Sources)** | **0-22 points** | **21-23 points** | **24-26 points** | **27-30 points** | /30 |
| Lacks sufficient research sources to support the central position and/or, if included, are generally not relevant, accurate, or reliable. Contains numerous factual mistakes, omissions, or oversimplifications. Sources, if included, are not properly referenced and cited in the paper. | Provides some evidence to support the central position with only a few research sources. Some sources may not be relevant, accurate, and reliable and/or appropriately referenced and cited in the paper. | Provides essential, accurate evidence to support the central position with the required (7) research sources including 1 source from a periodical database that are mostly relevant, accurate, and reliable. Sources are referenced and cited appropriately throughout the paper for the most part. | Provides compelling and accurate evidence to support in-depth the central position beyond the required (7) research sources with at least 1 source from a periodical database. Research sources are highly relevant, accurate, and reliable and add to the strength of the paper; and are effectively referenced and cited throughout the paper. |

**Research Paper Rubric (continued)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Unsatisfactory - Beginning** | **Developing** | **Accomplished** | **Exemplary** | **Total** |
| **Organization** | **0-6 points** | **7 points** | **8 points** | **9-10 points** | /10 |
| Paper lacks logical organization and impedes readers’ comprehension of ideas. Central position is rarely evident from paragraph to paragraph and/or the paper is missing multiple required components. | Paper is somewhat organized, although occasionally ideas from paragraph to paragraph may not flow well and/or connect to the central position or be clear as a whole. May be missing a required component and/or components may be less than complete.  | Paper is adequately organized. Ideas are arranged reasonably with a progression of thought from paragraph to paragraph connecting to the central position. Includes required components (introduction, body, conclusion, Reference List, etc.) for the most part. | Paper is effectively organized. Ideas are arranged logically, flow smoothly, with a strong progression of thought from paragraph to paragraph connecting to the central position. Includes all required components (introduction, body, conclusion, Reference List, etc.). |
| **Writing Quality & Adherence to Format Guidelines** | **0-6 points** | **7 points** | **8 points** | **9-10 points** | /10 |
| Paper shows a below average/poor writing style lacking in elements of appropriate standard English and following proper APA guidelines. Frequent errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation, spelling, usage, and/or formatting. | Paper shows an average and/or casual writing style using standard English and following APA guidelines. Some errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation, usage, and/or formatting. | Paper shows above average writing style and clarity in writing using standard English and following APA guidelines. Minor errors in grammar, punctuation, spelling, usage, and/or formatting. | Paper is well written and clear using APA guidelines and standard English characterized by elements of a strong writing style. Basically free from grammar, punctuation, spelling, usage, or formatting errors. |
| **Timeliness\* and Length of Paper**(\* unexcused late) | **Deduct 11 points-overall failing** | **Deduct 6-10 points** | **Deduct 1-5 points** | **0 points deducted** | /--- |
| Paper is submitted 2-3 days (49-72 hours) or more after the deadline and/or substantially lacks/exceeds the required length | Paper is submitted 1-2 days (25-48 hours) after the deadline and/or is somewhat lacking (or exceeds) the required length. | Paper is submitted within 1 day (24 hours) after the deadline and meets the required length (6-8 pages for the body). | Paper is submitted by the deadline and meets the required length (6-8 pages for the body). |
| **TOTAL POINTS (sum of 6 Criteria)** | **/100** |

**Instructor Guide and Notes**

* Sharing and discussing your Rubric with students is a good idea so that you can all come to a common understanding of what is expected for the assignment and how students’ work will be graded. Students should be able to visibly see a link to the Rubric at the beginning of the assignment in web-enhanced, hybrid, or fully online courses if a course management system is used (e.g., eCollege, Sakai, etc.).
* Rubrics make the process of grading more objective, consistent, and quicker (in the long run).
* Rubrics can also be used when reviewing any grade appeals.
* When grading:
	+ Pick three students’ papers at random and “practice” grading them using the Rubric so you get a better feel for it.
	+ Focus on the “Exemplary” mastery level (category) on each criterion before the other mastery levels (i.e., Accomplished, Developing, Beginning-Unsatisfactory) when evaluating and grading each student’s paper. The Exemplary mastery level articulates the highest learning outcome.
* If the rubric doesn’t do what you want, adjust it, as needed. For example, modify mastery descriptions to add “context” for your research paper assignment, if needed. However, be careful to maintain a similar “weighting” of criteria (i.e., “content” should be a significantly higher weighting than the “mechanics” of the assignment). Also, be aware that the “points” assigned for each mastery level have been mathematically calculated and proportioned as follows: overall, Exemplary is ~ 90-100%; Accomplished is ~80-89%; Developing is ~ 70-79%; and Beginning-Unsatisfactory is ~ 0-69%.
* This Rubric will work with both “percentage-based” grading systems and “points-based” grading systems. For percentage-based grading systems, it is important that the overall points add up to 100 points to work properly with the Gradebook in the course management system (e.g., eCollege, Sakai, etc.).
* It is recommended that instructors include a “model” of an “Exemplary” paper so students have a frame of reference before undertaking the assignment.